

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/691,212 | 10/21/2003 | Andrew W. Dornbusch | 025.0009 | 8353 | |
| 34456 7590 06/21/2007 LARSON NEWMAN ABEL POLANSKY & WHITE, LLP 5914 WEST COURTYARD DRIVE | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | CHU, CHRIS C | | |
| SUITE 200 AUSTIN, TX 7 | 8730 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| AUSTIN, IX / | 8730 | | 2815 | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 06/21/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------|------------------|--|
| | 10/691,212 | DORNBUSCH ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Chris C. Chu | 2815 | |

| | Chris C. Chu | 2815 | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>07 June 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | LLOWANCE. | | | | | |
| this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | e reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which ces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following e periods: | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 | b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | • | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | ns of the date of ne appeal. Since | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | nsideration and/or search (see NO | | ecause | | | | |
| | (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for | | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | corresponding number of finally rej | ected claims. | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | | | | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | ill be entered and an | explanation of | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 - 29</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | it before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida | lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i | ot be entered s necessary and | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | entry is below or attac | hed. | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. | t does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | | | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: On page 8, applicant states "[A]s is noted in Applicants' specification, paragraphs [0027] through [0029], maintaining an isolation distance (of a predetermined amount) between differential input and output pins of a chip that corresponds to a characteristic of an associated external filter (e.g., a surface acoustic wave (SAW) filter)". This statement still does not particularly point out and distinctly define the metes and bounds of the first predetermined distance sufficient to maintain an input-to-output isolation therebetween nor the first predetermined amount corresponding to the first electrical characteristic. Thus, any distances between the I/O pins or pads of chip of Hikita et al. fully anticipates "the first predetermined distance sufficient to maintain an input-to-output isolation therebetween" and "the first predetermined amount corresponding to the first electrical characteristic". Furthermore, "sufficient to maintain" and "corresponds to a first electrical characteristic" are vague and indefinite because the term "sufficient" is not defined and "first electrical characteristic" is not defined.

For the above reasons, the rejection of the 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph and the rejection of the 35 U.S.C. 102(b) are maintained.

JEROMÉ ACKSON PRIMARY EXAMINER